

Remarks/Arguments:

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed May 9, 2007.

Claims 1-35 were pending in the Application prior to the outstanding Office Action. In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-35.

IDS received 01/03/2005, 02/07/2005, 02/07/2005, 02/28/2005, 03/11/2005, 03/16/2005, 07/05/2006, 11/28/2006 and 11/29/2006 has been considered. Due to lengthy IDS submitted, Examiner requests that Applicant point to any known particular pertinent references.

The references were enclosed in the IDS because they were cited by the examiner in copending cases. The attorney for the applicant does not know of any of the references being particularly relevant.

The use of the trademark JAVA has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

The specification has been amended to capitalize the term JAVA.

Claims 4, 10, 17, 24 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 4, 10, 17, 24 and 31 have been amended to remove the term "JAVA".

Claims 14-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because claim limitations are directed towards software per se, which is non-statutory embodiment.

Claims 14-27 have been amended to be "computer-based". This change is believed to make the claims statutory.

Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 14, 15, 17-20, 28, 29 and 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 7,111,243 to Ballard et al.

Claims 3, 8-13, 16, 21-27 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 7,111,243 to Ballard et al., in view of U.S. Patent 7,062,718 B2 to Kodosky et al.

Independent claims 1, 8, 14, 21, 28 and 35 have been amended to include the limitation that “the control includes methods wherein the graphical representation of the control includes a graphical representation of the methods”. This change indicates that the control includes methods, in computer programming; methods are a type of sub-routine. The IDS can then display the methods of the control and allow the control to be manipulated.

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 30, 2007

By: /Joseph P. O'Malley/
Joseph P. O'Malley
Reg. No. 36,226

FLIESLER MEYER LLP
650 California Street, 14th Floor
San Francisco, California 94108
Telephone: (415) 362-3800